

20 8 - 13
Page 19, lines 21-27, delete "It will be appreciated that the first set of interconnected pads 119...

... amount of capacitive coupling between the circuit grids on opposing sides 80, 82." and, insert therefor -It will be appreciated that the grid of circuitry connecting to pads 119, having vias or through holes 119' that are interconnected in substantially diagonal patterns and the grid of circuitry 125 connected to pads 121, having vias or through holes 121' that are also interconnected in substantially diagonal patterns are not electrically connected, but that are spatially arranged in such a way as to generate a desired amount of capacitive coupling between the circuit traces connected to the circuit grids-

Page 26, line 12, change "or" to --on--.

Page 27, line 14, change "interconnected" to --interconnecting--.

In the Claims:

Please cancel claim 1.

REMARKS

Claims 22 - 70 remain in the instant application. Claim 1 has been cancelled. The Abstract has been amended. The specification has been amended to correct inadvertent errors therein. Figures 1, 2D, 3A-C, 4A, 4C and 9B have been corrected by a Request For Drawing Correction Approval.

Claim 1 has been cancelled as suggested by the Examiner.

The parent case paragraph will be updated when such information becomes available. Corrections entered in the parent case have also been made herein, as suggested by the Examiner.

The Abstract has been amended as suggested by the Examiner. Accordingly, withdrawal of this objection is respectfully requested. Figures 4A-C have been corrected

as suggested by the Examiner.

The Examiner has asked the applicant "to point out those documents considered by them to be most pertinent to the claims of this case and to discuss them with respect to such claims". Applicants have not reviewed the references submitted with respect to these claims such as to be in a position to render an opinion which are "most pertinent to the claims". The cost to render such a detailed opinion would be substantial. The applicants submit that they fully complied with their duty of disclosure. In view of the foregoing, applicants respectfully request that the Examiner withdraw this request.

Claims 1 and 22 - 70 stand rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being allegedly unpatentable over claims 1 - 8 of U.S. Patent No. 5,295,869. This rejection is obviated by the terminal disclaimer filed herewith, as suggested by the Examiner. Accordingly, reconsideration and allowance of claims 22 - 70 are respectfully requested.

The references not considered by the Examiner, as such were not initialed, are resubmitted herewith in the accompanying Information Disclosure Statement, as required by the Examiner.

If there are any additional charges with respect to this Amendment or otherwise, please charge them to Deposit Account No. 06-1130 maintained by Applicants' Attorney.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN SIEMON ET AL

FISHMAN, DIONNE & CANTOR
Applicants Attorneys

By:



Philmore H. Colburn II
Registration No. 35, 101

DATE: April 3, 1995
ADDRESS: 88 Day Hill Road, Windsor, Connecticut 06095
TELEPHONE: (203) 688-4470